

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,605	01/16/2002	Stefan Karlinger	70436	1127	
23872	7590 04/24/2003				
MCGLEW & TUTTLE, PC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510			RODRIGUE	RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677	3677	
•			DATE MAIL ED: 04/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# Office Action Summary

Αr	a	lica	atio	n	No.

Ruth C. Rodriguez

Applicant(s)

KARLINGER, STEFAN

10/051,605 Examiner

Art Unit

3677

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address --**Period for Reply** 

#### A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2002.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						



Art Unit: 3677

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 22 June 2002 has been considered for this Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marinoni. (US 4,881,296).

The clamping element (8,14) with a sliding block (8) for fixing in an undercut groove (10) with a narrower insertion area and a wider groove base characterized by the parallelogram shape of the sliding block (Figs. 1-8).

The spacing of two first parallel side surfaces of the sliding block corresponds to the width of the insertion area of the undercut groove (Fig. 4).

The spacing of two second parallel side surfaces of the sliding block corresponds to the width of the undercut groove base (Fig. 5).

A blocking member (16) is connected to the sliding block.



Art Unit: 3677

The blocking member has a stop face for a cam rail.

Regarding to claim 7, Marinoni discloses a device having a sliding block (8) and with grooved rails having the undercut grooves (10) characterized by a parallelogram shape of the sliding block (Figs. 1-8).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5, 6, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marinoni in view of Ishikawa et al. (US 6,438,913 B1).

Marinoni discloses a clamping element having all the limitations listed above in paragraph 3 for the rejection of claims 1-4. Marinoni fails to disclose that the blocking member has a stop face for a cam rail. However, Ishikawa teaches a clamping element (14) with a sliding block (15a) for blocking in an undercut groove (26) with a narrower insertion area and a wider groove base (Figs. 6-9). The clamping element is connected to a blocking member (11a,11b). The blocking member has a stop face for a cam rail (Figs. 1-13). The blocking member allows connection to another cam rail (C. 5, L. 4-15 and Figs. 1-12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to have the blocking member with a stop face for a cam rail



Art Unit: 3677

as taught by Ishikawa in the clamping element of Marinoni. Doing so, allows connection to another cam rail.

The blocking member has a groove (between 11a,11b) for the positive lateral fixing to a cam rail (Fig. 12).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, the same rejection of claims 5 and 6 is applied for these sets of claims.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kindorf (US 2,676,680 and US 2,784,812), Attwood (US 3,053,355), Cote (US 3,208,560), Priest (US 3,813,179), D'Alessio (US 4,430,019), Rebentisch (US 4,784,552), Kowalski (US 5,067,863), Rinderer (US Re. 36,681), Lieger (US 6,331,092 B1) and French Patent Document FR 2 639 411 are cited to show state of the art with respect to clamping element having some of the features of the current application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth C Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-1881. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 07:15 - 15:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (703) 306-4115.



Art Unit: 3677

Submissions of your responses by facsimile transmission are encouraged.

Technology center 3600's facsimile number for before final communications is (703) 872-9326. Technology center 3600's facsimile number for after final communications is (703) 872-9327. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase the patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as PTO's mailroom processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP § 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee that the applicant is paying by check should not be submitted by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP § 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

(Signature)	
(Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate)	
the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 872-9326) on _	(Date)
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile trans-	mitted to

Page 6



Application/Control Number: 10/051,605

Art Unit: 3677

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP § 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response has been transmitted by facsimile will cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application, duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Ruth C. Rodriguez Patent Examiner Art Unit 3677

RGR rcr

April 21, 2003

ROBERT J. SANDY
PRIMARY EXAMINER